

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/826,472	04/04/2001	Bin Yu	P1296	6214
75	90 08/20/2002			
LaRiviere, Grubman & Payne, LLP P.O. Box 3140 Monterey, CA 93942			EXAMINER	
			POMPEY, RON EVERETT	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2812	
			DATE MAIL ED: 08/20/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/826,472	YU, BIN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ron E Pompey	2812			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sh et with the correspondence addr ss Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133) - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	 ·				
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>19</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	(PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office					



Application/Control Number: 09/826,472

Art Unit: 2812

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 8, 9, 11, 12 and 14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gardner et al. (US 5,963,810).

Gardner discloses the limitations of:

For 1, 2, 4-6, 8, 9, 11, 12 and 14:

depositing a first nitride film (303, fig. 3A) on a semiconductor substrate;

depositing a high-k material (305, fig. 3B) on the first nitride (col. 5, Ins. 30-64 and col. 3, Ins. 25-32),

depositing a second nitride film on the high-k material (col. 6, lns. 13-20); and completing fabrication of the device (col. 6, lns. 1-12).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/826,472

Art Unit: 2812

4. Claims 3, 7, 10, 13 and 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gardner et al. (US 5,963,810) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Dautartas et al. (US 6,124,158).

Gardner discloses the claimed invention except:

5. For where Dautartas discloses:

For claims 3, 7, 13 and 15-17:

wherein the nitride films are deposited by using an atomic layer deposition (ALD) technique (col. 7, Ins. 15-30).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to form the nitride layers using an ALD technique, because this deposition technique provides excellent uniformity and surface conformity of thin insulator films.

6. For claims 10 and 18 -19 the examiner takes *official notice* that it is well know to form a gate from a group of materials consisting essentially of polysilicon and polysilicon-germanium, and that using a photoresist is part of know etching processes.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ron E Pompey whose telephone number is (703) 305-3016. The examiner can normally be reached on flex schedule.

Ron Pompey Art Unit: 2812

May 20, 2002

John F. Nübbling Supervisory Patent Evend

Technology Coder 25 00